

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/783,025 | SUWA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Terry L. Englund | 2816 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: Now allowed

 (1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.

(3) _____.

 (2) Applicants' Rep Ramyar M. Farid (Reg. No. 46,692).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2006
Time: 1:50 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

3, and 5-13

Prior art documents discussed:

Cave et al. (U.S. Patent 5,563,779) only in general terms with respect to parallel capacitors.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicants' representative agreed to amend claim 3, and cancel withdrawn claims 5-13, to place the application into condition for allowance. See the Examiner's Amendment for details.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)